

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/607,433	06/26/2003	John C. Falligant	3848-00771	5223
7590 01/29/2004			EXAMINA	
Joseph D. Kuborn			JACYNA, J CASINE	
Andrus, Sceales, Starke & Sawall, LLP Suite 1100			ART UNIT	APER NUMBER
100 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202-4178			3751	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Grand Car					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/607,433	FALLIGANT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	J. Casimer Jacyna	3751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timey within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ju</u>	<u>une 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	`				
4) Claim(s) 47-64 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 47-64 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 130 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 130 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document application from the priority document ap	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not received ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ast sentence of the specification of the covisional application has been received in priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3751

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 47-64 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,585,016. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the limitations in application claim 47 are included in patent claim 1, and all of the limitations in application claim 57 are included in patent claim 10. However, patent claims 1 and 10 include additional limitations that have been eliminated from the application claims such as the activation rod called for on col. 14, line 60 of the '016 patent. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that limitations could have been omitted from the patented claims for the purpose of obtaining a broader legal coverage of the patented subject matter.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action.

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,433 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

4. Claims 47-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Braatz et al. (5,517,906). Braatz discloses an anesthetic filling system including a bottle adapter 6, a bottle adapter valve assembly 14, a filling station 30, and a filler valve assembly 42.

- 5. Claims 47, 48, 51, 57 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grabenkort et al. (5,505,236). Grabenkort discloses an anesthetic filling system including a bottle adapter 36, a bottle adapter valve assembly 96, a filling station 118, a filler valve assembly 132, and key ridges 54 that are received in grooves within 116 as shown in figure 10.
- 6. Claims 52-56, 58, 59 and 61-64 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and if the obviousness-type double patenting rejection is overcome.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Casimer Jacyna whose telephone number is 703-308-1508. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue. thru Thu. 9AM-8PM, Fri. 7AM-1PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

J. Casimer Jacyna

Art Unit 3751

JCJ